

### 3 Annealed disordered systems & Langevin dynamics

**Matrix calculus I.** We will make use of several useful properties of matrices.

1. If  $A$  is an  $N \times N$  matrix, use the Gaussian integral identity

$$\det A = (2\pi)^N \left( \int d\mathbf{s} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{s}^T A \mathbf{s}} \right)^{-2} \quad (1)$$

and the chain rule to show that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial A_{ij}} \det A = \det A A_{ij}^{-1} \quad (2)$$

*Hint:*  $\langle s_i s_j \rangle = \Sigma_{ij}$  if  $\mathbf{s}$  is Gaussian with covariance matrix  $\Sigma$ .

**Gaussian averages.** We often find an exponential containing a centered (zero-mean) Gaussian random variable. Show that if  $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{R}^N$  is centered Gaussian with generic covariance  $\overline{s_i s_j}$  and  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^N$  is a constant vector, then

$$\overline{e^{\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{s}}} = e^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} b_i b_j \overline{s_i s_j}} \quad (3)$$

**Annealed Sherrington–Kirkpatrick model.** What does the annealed calculation look like for binary spins? The Sherrington–Kirkpatrick model is

$$H_J(\mathbf{s}) = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{N}} \sum_{ij} J_{ij} s_i s_j \quad (4)$$

for binary spins  $\mathbf{s} = \{\pm 1\}^N$  and for Gaussian  $\overline{J_{ij}} = 0$ ,  $\overline{J_{ij} J_{kl}} = \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} + \delta_{il} \delta_{jk}$ . Show that the annealed partition function is

$$\overline{Z_J} = \overline{\sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \{\pm 1\}^N} e^{-\beta H_J(\mathbf{s})}} = e^{N \log 2 + \frac{1}{4} N \beta^2} \quad (5)$$

with exactly the same  $\beta$ -dependence as the spherical case!

**From Langevin to Boltzmann.** We introduced dynamics using the Langevin equation

$$\dot{\mathbf{s}} = -\nabla H(\mathbf{s}) + \xi \quad (6)$$

where  $\xi$  is a centered Gaussian noise with variance  $\langle \xi_i(t)\xi_j(t') \rangle = 2T\delta_{ij}\delta(t-t')$ . In this problem we will show that this dynamics implies a Boltzmann distribution when it is stationary.

1. After a small timestep  $\Delta t$ , the change in  $\mathbf{s}$  will be

$$\Delta \mathbf{s} = -\nabla H(\mathbf{s})\Delta t + \int_0^{\Delta t} dt \xi(t) \quad (7)$$

Argue that the distribution of steps  $p(\Delta \mathbf{s} | \mathbf{s})$  starting from  $\mathbf{s}$  is Gaussian with mean  $-\nabla H(\mathbf{s})\Delta t$  and covariance  $\langle (\Delta s_i - \langle \Delta s_i \rangle)(\Delta s_j - \langle \Delta s_j \rangle) \rangle = 2T\delta_{ij}\Delta t$ .

2. Consider an arbitrary function  $f: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Argue that

$$f(\mathbf{s}(t+\Delta t)) = f(\mathbf{s}(t)) + \nabla f(\mathbf{s}(t)) \cdot \Delta \mathbf{s} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mathbf{s}^T \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{s}(t)) \Delta \mathbf{s} + O(\Delta \mathbf{s}^3) \quad (8)$$

Taking the average over the distribution of steps, show that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle f(\mathbf{s}(t)) \rangle = \langle -\nabla f(\mathbf{s}(t)) \cdot \nabla H(\mathbf{s}(t)) + T(\nabla \cdot \nabla) f(\mathbf{s}(t)) \rangle \quad (9)$$

3. If the dynamics have reached a stationary state, then  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle f(\mathbf{s}(t)) \rangle = 0$  for any function  $f$ . If  $p(\mathbf{s})$  is the probability distribution of  $\mathbf{s}$  in the stationary state, we can therefore conclude

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle -\nabla f(\mathbf{s}(t)) \cdot \nabla H(\mathbf{s}(t)) + T(\nabla \cdot \nabla) f(\mathbf{s}(t)) \rangle \\ &= \int d\mathbf{s} p(\mathbf{s}) [-\nabla f(\mathbf{s}) \cdot \nabla H(\mathbf{s}) + T(\nabla \cdot \nabla) f(\mathbf{s})] \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Making an integration by parts, show that this implies

$$0 = \nabla H(\mathbf{s})p(\mathbf{s}) + T\nabla p(\mathbf{s}) \quad (11)$$

4. Show that the differential equation above is solved by  $p(\mathbf{s}) \propto e^{-\beta H(\mathbf{s})}$ .